

Arithmetic Revisited

Lesson 5:

Decimal Fractions or Place Value Extended

Part 6: Dividing Decimal Fractions, Part 3

Enrichment: Converting Repeating Decimals into Common Fractions

The fact that every rational number can be represented by either a decimal that terminates or else by a decimal that eventually repeats the same cycle of digits endlessly does not mean in itself that the “reverse” (called the *converse*) is true. In this respect, so far we have shown that every terminating decimal can be represented as a common fraction. However, it still remains to be proven that *any* decimal that *eventually repeats the same cycle of digits* endlessly *must* represent a rational number.¹

Demonstrating this is a bit tricky in the sense that it is easier to scramble an egg than to unscramble one. For example, if we start with $1 \div 3$ and want to write it in decimal form, we simply go through the division process and see that the decimal is $0.\overline{3}$. However, suppose that we didn't already know that $0.\overline{3}$ was equal to $\frac{1}{3}$ and we wanted to express it as an equivalent common fraction, where would we begin?²

As we've mentioned before, from a practical point of view there is no need to know how to do this because we can get as an exact approximation as is needed in a “real world” application. However there is a side of mathematics that belongs as much to the humanities as it does to science and technology. In fact, there are people who study mathematics for the same reason that there are people who study poetry; not to build better bridges but because it represents a certain type of beauty; a beauty that shows the heights to which the human mind can soar. In this context, it would simply be “nice” to know that the converse is true. In fact, once we succeed in proving this we will have developed a very strong connection between rational numbers and decimals that may help us understand what irrational numbers (which will be discussed in the next part of this lesson) are and how abundantly they exist.

Having said all of this, let's now try to see how we could have started with $0.\overline{3}$ and determined that it represented $\frac{1}{3}$.

© Herbert and Ken Gross 1999

¹An easy to visualize why the converse of a true statement need not be true is to consider the fact that while it is true that every bear is an animal, it is not true that every animal is a bear.

²Unlike in what happens when we have a terminating decimal, in the case of $0.\overline{3}$ (or in the case of any non-terminating decimal) *there is no digit “furthest to the right”*. In other words, the definition of *endless* means that we *never* come to the *end* of the decimal.

- To begin with, since the repeating cycle in $0.\overline{3}$ consists of just a single digit (3), if we move the decimal point just 1 place to the right, the part that now follows the decimal point is still $\overline{3}$.
- However, if we move the decimal point 1 place to the right, we have multiplied the decimal by 10. In other words, if we let n stand for $0.\overline{3}$, then $10n$ stands for $3.\overline{3}$. In other words,

$$10n = 3.\overline{3}$$

and

$$n = 0.\overline{3}$$

The strategy we will now use relies on the fact that any number subtracted from itself is 0 (even if the number is represented by an endless decimal).

So let's subtract the bottom line above from the top line above. Recall that n means the same thing as $1n$. Hence the left hand side becomes $10n - 1n$ or $9n$; and on the right hand side the $\overline{3}$ in the bottom line "cancels" with the $\overline{3}$ in top line. That is, $3.\overline{3} - 0.\overline{3} = 3$. In summary:

$$\begin{array}{r} 10n = 3.\overline{3} \\ - 1n = 0.\overline{3} \\ \hline 9n = 3.0 \end{array}$$

And if $9n = 3$, then $n = 3 \div 9 = \frac{3}{9} = \frac{1}{3}$.

In summary, to convert a non-terminating repeating decimal into a common fraction, move the decimal point in such a way that we get *two* decimals that have the *same* fractional part (that is, the same repeating cycle). **We then subtract the lesser decimal from the greater to get the relationship that yields the desired fraction.**

Rather than belabor this point, let's try a couple of examples on our own.

